A researcher has found a link between autism, bowel disease and a vaccine called xxx that is given to all children under the age of 3.

His research is based on a sample of 12 children diagnosed with autism. He found this sample through a group of lawyers (called ZZZ) who are representing the families of the 12 autistic children in cases suing the xxx vaccine company.

His data was gathered through interviews with the parents and carefully controlled medical studies. He also had a clear and testable hypothesis. Some of these medical procedures included invasive techniques such as lumber punctures and colonoscopies (cameras inserted into the rectum) which the research did not have ethical consent for. In the interviews the parents identified “behavioural problems” and “developmental regression” occurring within two weeks of being given the xxx vaccine. 10 other scientists supported his findings and conclusion.

The researcher did not reproduce these results and studies since have found no link between autism and the vaccine xxx.

After the publication of the research it is found that some of the original raw data was changed and falsified and did not support the original claims. Also it was found that the researcher was paid £400,00 by the lawyers ZZZ to represent them against the xxx vaccine in court before he started his study. He also had started developing a new vaccine to replace XXX which he had not previously mentioned.